Communication

Oxidation State-Specific Fluorescent Method for Palladium(II) and Platinum(IV) Based on the Catalyzed Aromatic Claisen Rearrangement

Amanda L. Garner, and Kazunori Koide

More About This Article

Additional resources and features associated with this article are available within the HTML version:

- Supporting Information
- Access to high resolution figures
- Links to articles and content related to this article
- Copyright permission to reproduce figures and/or text from this article

View the Full Text HTML

Oxidation State-Specific Fluorescent Method for Palladium(II) and Platinum(IV) Based on the Catalyzed Aromatic Claisen Rearrangement

Amanda L. Garner and Kazunori Koide*

Department of Chemistry, University of Pittsburgh, 219 Parkman Avenue, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15260

Received August 18, 2008; E-mail: koide@pitt.edu

Pd contamination in active pharmaceutical ingredients (APIs) and their synthetic intermediates is a severe problem in the pharmaceutical industry, because substantial efforts are needed to analyze and remove the residual metal.[1] Since Pd^0 and Pd^{II} bind to scavengers differently, it is informative to separately quantify these Pd species in synthetic samples to effectively remove these impurities.[2] Currently available analytical methods are not capable of quantifying residual Pd species in APIs in native oxidation states;[3] therefore, it is difficult, if not impossible, to troubleshoot the nonreproducible Pd scavenging without addressing oxidation states during API purifications. Thus, the development of methods to monitor Pd in an oxidation state-specific manner without altering oxidation states is warranted. Moreover, such methods would facilitate studies on Pd materials including colloid and polymer-bound catalysts.[4]

We previously demonstrated that the transformation of nonfluorescent compound **1** to green fluorescent compound **2** is highly specific for Pd and Pt and capable of sensitively detecting these metals by means of Tsuji−Trost type reactions.[5] We also noted the noncatalyzed Claisen rearrangement from **1** to fluorescent green-yellow compound **3** at 150 °C in organic solvents[6] and 100 °C in water (unpublished results). Since **3** is nearly as fluorescent as **2** and distinct spectral differences exist between them (**2**, $\lambda_{max} = 523$ nm; **3**, $\lambda_{max} = 535$ nm), we asked if this transformation could be catalyzed by metals in water at a lower temperature.

Although numerous metal species catalyze the aromatic Claisen rearrangement in organic solvents,[7] only in rare examples have metal species been shown to catalyze this transformation in water; therefore, we were unable to expect particular metal species to do so a priori. As such, we proceeded to screen for metals. Among the metal reagents tested, only $PdCl_2$ promoted this rearrangement at 50 °C after 4 h in 1:4 DMSO/pH 10 buffer, while none of the other reagents afforded **2** or **3** (Figure 1a). This reaction may proceed through the mechanism shown in Scheme 1,[8] indicating that this detection method is fundamentally different from our previous method. Although Pd^0 species should afford **2** rather than **3**, this needed to be confirmed.[9] Toward this end, we screened Pd reagents with various oxidation states. As Figure 1b shows, our detection method is oxidation state-specific and each Pd^{II} reagent and a Pd^{IV} reagent successfully performed the conversion from **1** to **3**[10] while Pd^0 and insoluble Pd species did not.[11]

Using $PdCl_2$, we examined the initial rate and the sensitivity of our method. The initial rate was measured in 1:1 DMSO/pH 10 buffer (Figure S2). The reaction continued to proceed even after 24 h (data not shown), indicating that longer incubation time would increase the sensitivity of this detection method proportionally since the fluorescence signal is generated catalytically with respect to the analyte.[10] The fluorescence intensity correlated to the concentration of Pd^{II} in the 0.5−50 μM (50 ppb−5 ppm) range (Figure 1b). The detection limit under these conditions was calculated as 3.9 μM (390 ppb) with a signal-


Figure 1. Fluorescence analysis after 4 h at 50 °C. [**1**] = 12.5 μM. The y-axis is fluorescence intensity (au × 10^5) at 535 nm. For example, "2" means 2 × 10^5. (a) Metal specificity. [metal] = 10 μM. (b) Pd species at various oxidation states. [Pd] = 10 μM. (c) Correlation between fluorescence intensity and [Pd^{II}]. ◆ = in buffer ([K^+] = 114 mM). ■ = in buffer ([K^+] = 11.4 mM).

Scheme 1. Pd/Pt Species-Dependent Deallylation or Claisen Rearrangement of **1**

to-background ratio (S/B) of 3. The S/B increased at a lower buffer concentration (Figure 1c).

We next examined the detection of Pd^{II} contamination in the presence of Pd^0 in functionalized organic compounds. Since this process requires the detection of Pd^{II} in the presence of a large excess of synthetic compound (500, 50, 5 ppm = 2000, 20 000, 200 000 equiv of compound with respect to Pd^{II}), we were originally skeptical about our own method because of such stoichiometry. Nonetheless, each compound (12.5 mg/mL) was spiked with Pd^0 (6 μM in solution) and varying amounts of Pd^{II} ([Pd^{II}] = 5−500 ppm relative to each compound; 0.6−60 μM in solution), treated with **1** and heated at 50 °C for 4 h in 1:4 DMSO/pH 10 buffer. Figure 2a shows that, although the absolute fluorescence fluctuated among samples (Figures S3a and S3c), for each organic compound the relative contents of Pd^{II} can be rapidly monitored to prioritize

Figure 2. Pd^{II}-specific detection in the presence of synthetic samples and Pt^{IV} detection in water. For details, see text and Figure S3. (a) The y-axis is log$_{10}$(fluorescence intensity (au × 10^5) at 535 nm) (normalized).[12] B, D-J: [Pd^{II}] = 60 μM (red), 6 μM (blue), 0.6 μM (green). A = background, B = Pd^{II} only, C = Pd^0 only, D = Pd^{II} + Pd^0. All organic compounds contain both Pd^{II} and Pd^0: E = thioanisole, F = cholesterol, G = 2-carboxy-7-hydroxycoumarin, H = morpholine, I = indole, J = N-methylephedrine. (b, c) The y-axis is fluorescence intensity (au × 10^5) at 535 nm. (b) Monitoring of Pt^{IV} (1 mM) reduction to Pt^0. Reduction time = 0 (A), 10 (B), 20 (C), 30 min (D). (c) Detection of Pt^{IV} in Pt^0-containing drinking water ([Pt^0] = 250 μM). [Pt^{IV}] = 0 (A), 0.5 (= 0.0975 ppb) (B), 5 (C), 50 (D), 500 nM (E).

scavenging methods and optimize the protocol in a high throughput manner without pretreatment. While indole at 12.5 mg/mL was found to quench the fluorescence signal of **3** (note: it does not quench at <1.3 mg/mL; see Figure S4), an electron-deficient indole was found to be compatible with this method (Figure S5). N-Methylephedrine presumably binds to Pd^{II} strongly and retards the metal-catalyzed Claisen rearrangement (example J).[10] Even with these types of compounds, the relative Pd concentrations can still be monitored during Pd scavenging because the relative fluorescence signal decreases as the Pd content decreases.[10]

On the basis of the similar π-electrophilicity between cationic Pd and Pt species, we asked if this method could be extended to Pt^{n+} detection. Pt^0 has been shown to be beneficial for human health due to its ability to catalytically quench reactive oxygen species to less toxic materials and is used in many health-related products including commercially bottled drinking water.[13] However, a major concern in manufacturing these products is contamination with Pt^{IV} because it is produced through the reduction of the more stable Pt^{IV} species and Pt^{IV} is highly toxic.

Although in our metal screening studies Pt^{II} did not produce fluorescence signal, we hypothesized that Pt^{IV} would be a more efficient catalyst because it is presumably more π-electrophilic.[14] Indeed, unlike Pt$^{0/II}$, Pt^{IV} catalyzed the Claisen rearrangement in water.[15] We applied this reaction for the Pt^0 manufacturing process to monitor the progress of the electrochemical reduction of Pt^{IV} to Pt^0 in water. As Figure 2b shows, our detection method is successful for fluorescently monitoring this reduction. We next used this fluorescence method to detect Pt^{IV} contamination in a Pt^0-containing

drink. As Figure 2c shows, spiked Pt^{IV} was successfully detected by fluorescence in a concentration-dependent manner with a detection limit of 0.54 nM (0.11 ppb) with S/B of 3 in the presence of Pt^0 at 250 μM, which is 3-orders of magnitude more sensitive than that currently employed.[16]

In summary, we have demonstrated that fluorogenic probe **1** can detect Pd$^{II/IV}$ and Pt^{IV} via Claisen rearrangement to **3** even in functionalized compounds and Pt^0-water, each without sample preparation steps. This method may find application in the pharmaceutical industry, the environment, and Pd/Pt quality control.

Supporting Information Available: Details of all fluorescence analyses. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

References

(1) Garrett, C. E.; Prasad, K. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2004**, *346*, 889.
(2) (a) Galaffu, N.; Man, S. P.; Wilkes, R. D.; Wilson, J. R. H. *Org. Process Res. Dev.* **2007**, *11*, 406. (a) Welch, C. J.; Albaneze-Walker, J.; Leonard, W. R.; Biba, M.; DaSilva, J.; Henderson, D.; Laing, B.; Mathre, D. J.; Spencer, S.; Bu, X.; Wang, T. *Org. Process Res. Dev.* **2005**, *9*, 198. (b) Urawa, Y.; Miyazawa, M.; Ozeki, N.; Ogura, K. *Org. Process Res. Dev.* **2003**, *7*, 191.
(3) Electrochemical methods require chromatographic separation, during which Pd^0 can be oxidized to Pd^{II}. ICP−MS analysis and a method by the Anslyn group require acid treatment of samples. Houk, R. J. T.; Wallace, K. J.; Hewage, H. S.; Anslyn, E. V. *Tetrahedron* **2008**, *64*, 8271.
(4) (a) MacQuarrie, S.; Horton, J. H.; Barnes, J.; McEleney, K.; Loock, H.-P.; Crudden, C. M. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2008**, *47*, 3279. (b) Köhler, K.; Kleist, W.; Pröckl, S. S. *Inorg. Chem.* **2007**, *46*, 1876. (c) Crudden, C. M.; Sateesh, M.; Lewis, R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, *127*, 10045.
(5) (a) Song, F.; Garner, A. L.; Koide, K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 12354. For the absorption and emission spectra of **1** and **2**, see ref 6 and (b) Garner, A. L.; Koide, K. *Chem. Commun.*, in press. For the application of **1** for Pt detection, see: (c) Garner, A. L.; Koide, K. *Chem. Commun.*, in press.
(6) Koide, K.; Song, F.; de Groh, E. D.; Garner, A. L.; Mitchell, V. D.; Davidson, L. A.; Hukriede, N. A. *ChemBioChem* **2008**, *9*, 214.
(7) Majumdar, K. C.; Alam, S.; Chattopadhyay, B. *Tetrahedron* **2008**, *64*, 597.
(8) (a) van der Baan, J. L.; Bickelhaupt, F. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1986**, *27*, 6267. (b) Schenck, T. G.; Bosnich, B. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1985**, *107*, 2058.
(9) Itami, K.; Yamazaki, D.; Yoshida, J.-I. *Org. Lett.* **2003**, *5*, 2161.
(10) See Supporting Information.
(11) A similar observation was also made in organic solvent.[10]
(12) The data are normalized for 500 ppm Pd^{II} analogously to ICP−MS analysis, in which a standard curve is generated for Pd in each organic molecule separately. For more detail, see Supporting Information.
(13) (a) http://www.apt-ca.com. (b) Kajita, M.; Hikosaka, K.; Iitsuka, M.; Kanayama, A.; Toshima, N.; Miyamoto, Y. *Free Radical Res.* **2007**, *41*, 615.
(14) Barluenga, J.; Diéguez, A.; Fernández, A.; Rodríguez, F.; Fañanás, F. J. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2006**, *45*, 2091.
(15) There is one example from the literature reporting the Pt^{IV}-catalyzed Claisen rearrangement: Stewart, H. F.; Seibert, R. P. *J. Org. Chem.* **1968**, *33*, 4560.
(16) In a manufacturing facility, Pt^{IV} can be detected at 500 nM and above in the presence of Pt^0 at 250 μM. Minenobu Okayama, APt Co., personal communication; April 1 and May 16, 2008.

JA8065539